Efficacy and Safety of HIFU in Improving Bladder Control in Women with Urinary Incontinence



¹Sampana Fatima, ²Aqsa Saleem, ³Sana Rauf, ⁴Shagufta Awais, ⁵Saadia Kanwal, ²Wajeeha Imam

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Urinary incontinence is a common gynecological issue, affecting millions of women worldwide due to the weakening of pelvic floor muscles.

Aims & Objectives: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) for strengthening pelvic floor muscles and improving bladder control in women with urinary incontinence.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted at CMH Multan from April 2023 to May 2024 in collaboration with the gynecology and urology departments.

Material & Methods: The current non-randomized trial included 100 females diagnosed with urinary incontinence and aged ≥35 years through non-probability convenience sampling. This study adopted a unique HIFU treatment consisting of weekly 20-minute sessions over 8 consecutive weeks. The primary outcome was a change in urinary incontinence symptoms measured by the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF) score. Secondary outcomes included a reduction in incontinence episodes, bladder control parameters (maximum bladder capacity and detrusor pressure), strengthening pelvic floor (perineometry, digital palpation), life quality (I-QOL and PFDI-20), and adverse events. Descriptive statistics were expressed using SPSS version 23.0, as mean ± SD or frequency/percentages to check the mean difference across the two groups, t-test was applied. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The HIFU group showed a significant decrease in ICIQ-UI SF scores (-6 ± 2) compared to the non-HIFU group $(-2 \pm 1, p < 0.001)$. HIFU participants experienced a greater decrease in incontinence episodes $(10 \pm 3 \text{ vs. } 4 \pm 2, p < 0.001)$ and improvements in bladder control and muscle strength. Participants undergoing HIFU demonstrated a significant rise in the I-QOL score (p < 0.01), with 80% reporting subjective improvement. The HIFU group also experienced minimal adverse effects and the results were significant. P<0.05.

Conclusion: HIFU is an effective, safe, and non-invasive treatment for urinary incontinence, significantly improving symptoms, bladder control, muscle strength, and life quality while offering minimum to no adverse effects.

Keywords: Pelvic Floor Training, High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound, Urinary Incontinence

INTRODUCTION

Urinary incontinence (UI) is a common gynecological issue affecting millions of women worldwide. It significantly impacts their quality of life and emotional health. UI is mainly present in pregnant females and is linked with vaginal deliveries¹. Various invasive and non-invasive methods of management or treatment are currently being practiced with varying degrees of success and

limitations. These include behavioral therapy, pelvic floor strengthening exercises, pharmacological interventions, and surgical repairs². Still, there is an increased demand for innovative, non-invasive procedures that can effectively manage UI with minimal possible side effects. Amongst noninvasive methods, a newly developed, Highintensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) represents promising results⁶. HIFU is well known for its medical applications, such as in tumor ablation, and benign prostatic hyperplasia, along with cosmetic treatment and aesthetic gynecology⁷. HIFU delivers focused thermal energy to target tissue via harnessing high-frequency sound waves. The ability of HIFU for a focused target induces controlled tissue damage. HIFU can also stimulate collagen production thus promoting tissue strength, leading to its cosmetic and medical application for tissue tightening⁸. Recent international studies have proposed the beneficial effects of HIFU in improving bladder control, but very little evidencebased national data is available. A critical review of

Correspondence:

Dr. Sampana Fatima, Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Shahida Islam Medical College, Bahawalpur

E-mail: sampanasami@gmail.com

Submission Date: 22nd August 2024 1st Revision Date: 26th December 2024 Acceptance Date: 11th March 2025



¹Shahida Islam Medical College, Bahawalpur

²CMH Multan

³Sadiq Abbasi Hospital, Bahawalpur

⁴Aster Sanad Hospital Riyadh, KSA

⁵Alnafees Hospital, Islamabad

the existing literature reveals a significant gap in addressing key clinical outcomes such as patient satisfaction, adverse effects, and bladder capacity following interventions for all types of urinary incontinence. So, this study aims to set a new HIFU treatment session with significant efficacy and minimal adverse effects in improving bladder control in females with any type of UI. By targeting clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and potential side effects, this study may provide a robust evidence-based integration of HIFU in routine gynecological and urological setup to treat UI that may also avoid the hassle of prolonged sessions of HIFU and improve patients' compliance and its accessibility to the major population. The rationale of this study is to provide a less time-consuming but effective technique of HIFU on pelvic floor muscle strength and bladder control that may lead to a paradigm shift in the treatment of urinary incontinence, offering a novel, effective, and noninvasive procedure.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A non-randomized control trial was conducted in the Department of Gynecology and Urology at CMH Hospital in collaboration with clinics of aesthetic gynecology from April 2023 to May 2024. After approval from the institutional review board (ERC No.49/2024), this study was conducted following CONSORT guidelines, participants were recruited via non-probability convenient sampling. This study included females aged \geq 35 years and diagnosed with any type of urinary incontinence but no prior surgical interventions for urinary incontinence within the last year. This study excluded females who were pregnant, having pelvic organ prolapse beyond grade II, active urinary tract infection, or other acute infections and significant comorbid conditions that might interfere with the treatment or evaluation. The minimum calculated sample size for each group was 48 participants per group with the help of the following formula, but we recruited 50 subjects per group. So, n=10

$$\begin{split} n &= 2 \cdot (\sigma^2) \cdot \left(Z_{\underline{\alpha}} + Z_{\beta} \right)^2 \div \Delta^2 \\ \text{Where, n= sample size, } \sigma^2 &= \text{estimated variance (3)} \end{split}$$

Where, n= sample size, σ^2 =estimated variance (3 for ICIQ-UI), $Z\alpha = 5\%$ significance, $Z\beta$ =90%, Δ^2 = difference between 2 groups. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki for ethical standards, and written informed consent was obtained from all research participants. Descriptive parameters were documented using a standardized proforma. The

participants were divided into two equal groups (n=50 each). Group A included 50 participants who underwent HIFU treatment (HIFU group), while Group B consisted of 50 age-matched participants who did not receive HIFU treatment (control group). Demographic data, medical history, baseline ICIQ-UI SF scores, and urodynamic parameters were collected at the initial visit. Primary and secondary outcomes were assessed at baseline, immediately following the 8-week intervention, and at a followup 3-month post-intervention, addressing a gap in prior studies that predominantly focused on the 3month mark. Participants also maintained diaries to log episodes of incontinence and any side effects experienced during the study. The HIFU treatment was administered using a commercially available device specifically designed for pelvic floor therapy. Participants received the treatment in a clinical setting, with sessions lasting 20 minutes each, conducted once a week for 8 consecutive weeks. This protocol distinguishes the study methodology from prior research. The HIFU parameters included a frequency of 1 MHz, an intensity of 3 W/cm², and a pulse duration of 100 ms. In contrast, the control group did not receive HIFU treatment but continued with their usual care, which included pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) and lifestyle modifications as recommended by their healthcare providers. The study's primary outcome was the reduction in urinary incontinence, measured by the change in International Consultation Incontinence on Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF) scores from baseline to 8 weeks. Secondary outcomes included a decrease in the weekly number of incontinence episodes, improved bladder control assessed through urodynamic studies (such as maximum bladder capacity and detrusor pressure), and enhanced pelvic floor muscle strength evaluated using perineometry (pressure readings in cmH2O) and digital palpation (graded scale). Additionally, quality of life was using I-OOL and PFDI-20 measured the questionnaires. Patient satisfaction and subjective improvement were gauged through surveys and interviews. Safety was a critical component, with adverse events and complications monitored and recorded during and after the HIFU treatment. Pain and discomfort levels were also assessed using visual analog scales (VAS).

Data Analysis:

Data was analyzed with SPSS version 23.0. The normality of data was determined with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean±S.D while categorical variables were

expressed as frequency percentages. An independent sample t-test or chi-square test was applied to see the statistical difference between the two groups.

RESULTS

Both groups had an average age in their mid-fifties and comparable body mass index (BMI). The parity, or number of deliveries, was also similar between the groups, indicating a homogeneous sample in terms of childbirth history. A mix of premenopausal and post-menopausal women participated, with slightly more post-menopausal women in both groups. The presence of comorbid conditions and previous treatments for urinary incontinence were fairly balanced, suggesting that both groups had a similar baseline health status. (Table 1)

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study

population (n=100)

population (n=100)				
Variable	HIFU	Non-HIFU		
v ai iabic	group	group		
Age	55 ± 10	54 ± 9		
(years, mean \pm SD)				
BMI	28 ± 3	27 ± 4		
$(kg/m^2, mean \pm SD)$				
Pre-menopausal	20 (40%)	22 (44%)		
N (%)				
Post-menopausal	30 (60%)	28 (56%)		
N (%)				
Comorbid	15 (30%)	14 (28%)		
Conditions N (%)				
Previous	10 (20%)	12 (24%)		
Treatments N (%)				
Baseline ICIQ-UI	15 ± 3	15 ± 4		
SF Score n (%)				

Body Mass Index, Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form

Women who received HIFU treatment experienced a significant reduction in urinary incontinence symptoms. (p<0.05) These participants reported feeling considerable relief in the frequency and severity of incontinence episodes, enhanced capacity, and reduced bladder filling, highlighting the effectiveness of HIFU in managing this condition. (p<0.05) In contrast, the control group, which did not receive HIFU, showed only minimal improvement, indicating that standard care was less effective in alleviating symptoms. Subjects undergoing HIFU reported subjective feedback of significant strengthening of pelvic floor muscles, further augmented by clinical examination. (p<0.05) This can be the possible cause of enhanced bladder control and prevention of UI. The HIFU group also

reported a significant improvement in quality of life as compared to the control group as pelvic floor exercises were tiring to many and didn't affect bladder control significantly. (Tables 2 and 4)

Table 2: Primary and secondary outcome measures in the study population at 3 months post-management (n=50 for each group)

Parameter	HIFU	Control	P value
Improvement in	_		
Urinary			
Incontinence	-6 ± 2	-2 ± 1	0.001
Symptoms (Change	-0 ± 2	- 2 ± 1	0.001
in ICIQ-UI SF			
Score)			
Reduction in			
Incontinence	-10 ±	-4 ± 2	0.001
(Episodes per	3	· - -	0.001
Week)			
Bladder Control:			
Maximum Bladder	450 ±	400 ±	0.0001
Capacity (mL)	50	45	0.0001
Detrusor Pressure	20 ± 5	25 ± 6	0.0008
cm H ₂ O Pelvic Floor Muscle			
Strength: Perineometry (cm	50 ±		
H ₂ O Digital	10	40 ± 8	0.00005
Palpation (scale 0-	4 ± 1	3 ± 1	0.0002
5):	1 - 1		
Quality of Life:			
I-QOL Score	90 ±		
$(mean \pm SD)$:	10	70 ± 15	0.001
PFDI-20 Score	60 ±	80 ± 12	0.001
(mean \pm SD):	10		
Patient Satisfaction:			
Subjective			
Improvement	40	20	0.001*
(n %): Satisfaction	(80%)	(40%)	0.001
with Treatment	8 ± 1	5 ± 2	0.0001
(mean \pm SD, scale			
0-10)			

An Independent sample t-test was applied and p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Table 3: ANOVA for measuring the statistical difference of each parameter at baseline, 8 weeks, and 3 months in the HIFU group

Parameter	Baseline	8 weeks	3	P value
Immuovomont in			months	
Improvement in Urinary Incontinence Symptoms (Change in ICIQ- UI SF Score)	3±3	-4±2	-6 ± 2	0.0025
Reduction in Incontinence (Episodes per Week)	14±5	-8±3	-10 ± 3	0.001
Bladder Control: Maximum Bladder Capacity (mL) Detrusor Pressure cm H ₂ O	360±50 28±8	400±45 24±6	450 ± 50 20 ± 5	0.0019 0.0028
Pelvic Floor Muscle Strength: Perineometry (cm H ₂ O Digital Palpation (scale 0-5):	32±10 2.5±1	40±7 3±1	50 ± 10 4 ± 1	0.0027 0.0032
Quality of Life: I-QOL Score (mean ± SD): PFDI-20 Score (mean ± SD):	52±18 95±10	75±8 75±15	90 ± 10 60 ± 10	0.001 0.00191
Patient Satisfaction: Subjective Improvement (n %): Satisfaction with Treatment (mean ± SD, scale 0-10)	0(0) 2±2	20(40) 6±3	40 (80%) 8 ± 1	0.00001 0.00027

P value of <0.05 was statistically significant. *A chisquare test was applied for adverse effects and an independent sample t-test for pain and discomfort. Significant at 0.05. On the mean t-test was applied.

In the HIFU group, primary and secondary outcomes were compared at baseline, 8 weeks, and 3 months post-HIFU, and significant differences across the readings were observed. (Table-3)

Table 4: Adverse effects in HIFU and non-HIFU group

Parameter	HIFU	Control	р
Adverse			
Events	5 (10%)	2 (4%)	0.05*
n (%)			
Pain and			
Discomfort			
(VAS,	2 ± 1	1 ± 1	0.0002
mean ±			
SD)			

^{*} Chi-squared test was applied

Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF), Incontinence Quality of Life Instrument (I-QOL), and the Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI-20).

DISCUSSION

The current study included 100 age-matched females and evaluated the efficacy of high-intensity focused ultrasound HIFU in managing UI among women, comparing its outcomes with a control group that did not receive HIFU treatment. The findings suggest that HIFU significantly improves urinary incontinence symptoms, bladder control, pelvic floor muscle strength, and overall quality of life, with greater patient satisfaction compared to standard care. The current study has shown significant improvement in urinary incontinence symptoms in the HIFU group (-6 \pm 2 change in ICIO-UI SF score) compared to the control group (- 2 ± 1 , p=0.001). A study involving 28 women reported a significant decrease in UI severity, with 43% of participants experiencing complete resolution of symptoms after HIFU treatment⁵. Another study on HIFU found a significant reduction in incontinence episodes per week, paralleling the -10 \pm 3 episode reduction in our study⁶. These improvements can be attributed to the ability of HIFU to stimulate collagen remodeling and tissue regeneration in the urethral and pelvic support structures. The observed increase in perineometry readings (50 \pm 10 cm H₂O vs 40 \pm 8 cm H₂O in the control, p=0.00005) suggests improved pelvic floor muscle strength, a key factor in continence maintenance.

Bladder function improvements in the HIFU group were reflected by increased maximum bladder capacity (450 ± 50 mL vs 400 ± 45 mL, p=0.0001) and decreased detrusor pressure (20 ± 5 cm H₂O vs. 25 ± 6 cm H₂O, p=0.0008). These findings support the previous literature on patients undergoing noninvasive interventions, including high-intensity electromagnetic-focused ultrasound therapy, exhibiting improved bladder compliance and reduced urgency symptoms, supporting the findings of the present study. (Table 3)

The current study highlights a significant improvement in pelvic floor muscle strength, as measured by perineometry and digital palpation in the HIFU group (p<0.05). A systematic review suggested that thermal and electromagnetic stimulation exhibited significant results among all physical exercises and methods of improving pelvic floor strength in females with UI⁷. Additionally, the increase in digital palpation scores (from baseline 2.5 ± 1 to 4 ± 1 at 3 months) mirrors findings from another study that observed a gradual but consistent strengthening of pelvic floor muscles after HIFU therapy⁸.

Patients in the HIFU group reported significantly higher quality of life improvements (I-QOL: 90 ± 10 vs 70 ± 15 in controls, p=0.001) and greater satisfaction with treatment (8 ± 1 vs 5 ± 2, p=0.0001). These findings align with previous literature that women receiving HIFU for UI experienced substantial improvements in social and emotional well-being⁹. A study found that adherence to pelvic floor muscle training is often low due to physical discomfort and lack of motivation¹⁰. This could explain why patients in our study found HIFU to be a more acceptable and sustainable intervention.

CONCLUSION

The present study reports the significant role of HIFU in pelvic floor strengthening and hence management of urinary incontinence. HIFU proves to be a reliable non-invasive method for the treatment of UI owing to its minimal side effects and patient satisfaction. These findings provide an emerging way forward for managing UI regardless of the type of UI.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tesemma MG, Sori DA, Hajito KW. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and associated factors, its impact on quality of life among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Asella teaching and referral hospital. BMC Urol [Internet]. 2024 Aug 24;24(1):178. Available from: https://bmcurol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12894-024-01560-2
- Lugo T, Leslie SW, Mikes BA, Riggs J. Stress Urinary Incontinence [Internet]. StatPearls. 2025. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24848922
- 3. Turner B, Cranston D. A Review of High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound. Int J Transl Med [Internet]. 2024 Mar 12;4(1):197–207. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2673-8937/4/1/11
- 4. Oh S, Rhee DY, Batsukh S, Son KH, Byun K. High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound Increases Collagen and Elastin Fiber Synthesis by Modulating Caveolin-1 in Aging Skin. Cells [Internet]. 2023 Sep 14;12(18):2275. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/12/18/2275
- 5. Önder Dirican A, Ceran MU, Kahraman O, Sönmez MG. High-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) for the treatment of female urinary incontinence: A retrospective analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) [Internet]. 2024 Oct 4;103(40):e39940. Available from:
 - https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/MD.0000000000 039940
- 6. Medicine T. Review of the use of intravaginal hifu

- therapy for the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy, . 2023;4:27–33.
- Romeikienė KE, Bartkevičienė D. Pelvic-Floor Dysfunction Prevention In Prepartum And Postpartum Periods. Medicina (B Aires) [Internet]. 2021 Apr 16;57(4):387. Available From: Https://Www.Mdpi.Com/1648-9144/57/4/387
- 8. Silantyeva E, Zarkovic D, Astafeva E, Soldatskaia R, Orazov M, Belkovskaya M, Et Al. A Comparative Study On The Effects Of High-Intensity Focused Electromagnetic Technology And Electrostimulation For The Treatment Of Pelvic Floor Muscles And Urinary Incontinence In Parous Women: Analysis Of Posttreatment Data. Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg [Internet]. 2021 Apr;27(4):269–73. Available From: Https://Journals.Lww.Com/10.1097/SPV.000000000 00000807
- Tonguc T, Recker F, Ganslmeier J, Strunk HM, Pieper CC, Ramig O, Et Al. Improvement Of Fibroid-Associated Symptoms And Quality Of Life After US-Guided High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) Of Uterine Fibroids. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2022 Dec 7;12(1):21155. Available From: Https://Www.Nature.Com/Articles/S41598-022-24994-W
- 10. Venegas M, Carrasco B, Casas-Cordero R. Factors Influencing Long-Term Adherence To Pelvic Floor Exercises In Women With Urinary Incontinence. Neurourol Urodyn [Internet]. 2018 Mar 2;37(3):1120–7. Available From: Https://Onlinelibrary.Wiley.Com/Doi/10.1002/Nau. 23432

The Authors:

Dr. Sampana Fatima Assistant Professor Physiology Shahida Islam Medical and Dental College, Lodhran, Pakistan

Dr. Aqsa Saleem Postgraduate Trainee Department of Medicine CMH Multan, Pakistan

Dr. Sana Rauf Senior Registrar Department of Gynecology, Sadiq Abbasi Hospital, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Dr. Shagufta Awais Specialist Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Aster Sanad Hospital Riyadh, KSA Dr. Saadia Kanwal Associate Professor Department of Gynecology, Alnafees Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan

Dr. Wajeeha Imam House Officer Department of Gynecology, CMH Multan, Pakistan

Authorship:

SA: Conception, Data Collection Final approval
AS: Conception of study design manuscript writing final approval
SR: Data analysis, manuscript initial draft, final

approval

SA: Data analysis, manuscript initial draft, final approval

SK: Study design, initial draft, final approvalWI: Ethical approval, data collection, initial writing, final approval